

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/772,099		HALEY, JEFFREY T.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Patricia Leith		1655	

All Participants:

(1) Patricia Leith.

(2) Paul Lunn.

Date of Interview: 11 October 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: approx. 1pm EST

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
none

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed changes to the claims in order to place the claims in condition for allowance. Presented these changes to Mr. Lunn in a draft Examiner's amendment. Mr. Lunn agreed to the changes proposed in the Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)